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Abstract
This work adapts techniques and results first developed by Malinowski and by Marek in the context of referential semantics of sentential logics to the context of logics formalized as $\pi$-institutions. More precisely, the notion of a pseudo-referential matrix system is introduced and it is shown how this construct generalizes that of a referential matrix system. It is then shown that every $\pi$-institution has a pseudo-referential matrix system semantics. This contrasts with referential matrix system semantics which is only available for self-extensional $\pi$-institutions by a previous result of the author obtained as an extension of a classical result of Wójcicki. Finally, it is shown that it is possible to replace an arbitrary pseudo-referential matrix system semantics by a discrete pseudo-referential matrix system semantics.
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1. Introduction
Let $\mathcal{L} = \langle \Lambda, \rho \rangle$ be a logical signature/algebraic type, i.e., a set of logical connectives/operation symbols $\Lambda$ with attached finite arities given by the
function $\rho : \Lambda \to \omega$. Let also $V$ be a countably infinite set of propositional variables and $T$ a set of reference/base points. Wójcicki [10] defines a referential algebra $A$ over $T$ (or based on $T$) to be an $\mathcal{L}$-algebra with universe $A \subseteq \{0, 1\}^T$, or, equivalently, $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(T)$.

Let $\text{Fm}_\mathcal{L}(V) = \langle \text{Fm}_\mathcal{L}(V), \mathcal{L} \rangle$ be the free $\mathcal{L}$-algebra generated by the set $V$ of variables. A homomorphism from $\text{Fm}_\mathcal{L}(V)$ into a referential algebra $A$ over $T$ may be viewed as an interpretation of the formulas of $\text{Fm}_\mathcal{L}(V)$ in $A$. We conceive of a formula $\alpha \in \text{Fm}_\mathcal{L}(V)$ as being true at point $t \in T$ under $h$ if and only if $t \in h(\alpha)$. This notion of truth gives rise to a consequence operation on $\text{Fm}_\mathcal{L}(V)$. Namely, a referential algebra $A$ determines the consequence operator $C_A$ on $\text{Fm}_\mathcal{L}(V)$ by setting, for all $X \cup \{\alpha\} \subseteq \text{Fm}_\mathcal{L}(V)$, $\alpha \in C_A(X)$ iff, for all $h : \text{Fm}_\mathcal{L}(V) \to A$ and all $t \in T$,

$$h(\beta)(t) = 1, \text{ for all } \beta \in X, \text{ implies } h(\alpha)(t) = 1,$$

or equivalently, iff, for all $h : \text{Fm}_\mathcal{L}(V) \to A$,

$$\bigcap_{\beta \in X} h(\beta) \subseteq h(\alpha).$$

Wójcicki calls a propositional logic $S = \langle \mathcal{L}, C \rangle$, where $C = C_A$, for a referential algebra $A$, a referential (or referentially truth-functional) propositional logic.

Wójcicki shows in [10] that, given a class $K$ of referential algebras, there exists a single referential algebra $A$, such that $C_K = \bigcap_{K \in K} C_K = C_A$. Thence follows that a propositional logic is referential if and only if it is defined by a class of referential algebras.

Given a propositional logic $S = \langle \mathcal{L}, C \rangle$, the Frege or interderivability relation of $S$, denoted $\Lambda(S)$, is the equivalence relation on $\text{Fm}_\mathcal{L}(V)$, defined, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Fm}_\mathcal{L}(V)$, by

$$(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda(S) \text{ iff } C(\alpha) = C(\beta).$$

The Tarski congruence $\equiv_T(S)$ of $S$ (see [5]) is the largest congruence relation on $\text{Fm}_\mathcal{L}(V)$ that is compatible with all theories of $S$. The Tarski congruence is a special case of the Suszko congruence $\equiv_T(S(T))$ associated with a given theory $T$ of $S$, which is defined as the largest congruence on $\text{Fm}_\mathcal{L}(V)$ that is compatible with all theories of $S$ that contain the given theory $T$ (see [3]). In fact, by definition, $\equiv_T(S) = \equiv_T(C(\emptyset))$, i.e., the Tarski congruence of $S$ is the Suszko congruence associated with the set of
theorems of the logic $\mathcal{S}$. Font and Jansana [5], extending Czelakowski’s [2] (see also [1]) well-known characterization of the Leibniz congruence $\Omega(T)$ associated with a theory $T$ of a sentential logic, have shown that, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{Fm}_\mathcal{L}(V)$,

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in \Omega(S) \iff \text{ for all } \varphi(p, q) \in \mathrm{Fm}_\mathcal{L}(V),$$

$$C(\varphi(\alpha, q)) = C(\varphi(\beta, q)).$$

Whereas $\Omega(S) \subseteq \Lambda(S)$, for every propositional logic $\mathcal{S}$, the reverse inclusion does not hold in general. A propositional logic is called selfextensional in [10] if $\Lambda(S) \subseteq \Omega(S)$. In fact, Wójcicki shows in what has become a fundamental theorem in the theory of referential semantics, Theorem 2 of [10], that a propositional logic is referential if and only if it is self-extensional. This result shows that, unless a propositional logic $\mathcal{S}$ is self-extensional, $\mathcal{S}$ cannot possess a referential algebraic semantics.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a logical signature. An $\mathcal{L}$-g-matrix $A = \langle A, C \rangle$ consists of an $\mathcal{L}$-algebra $A$ together with a collection $C \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$. A g-matrix $A$ generates a consequence operator $C_A$ on $\mathrm{Fm}_\mathcal{L}(V)$ as follows: For all $X \cup \{\alpha\} \subseteq \mathrm{Fm}_\mathcal{L}(V)$,

$$\alpha \in C_A(X) \iff \text{ for all } h : \mathrm{Fm}_\mathcal{L}(V) \rightarrow A \text{ and all } F \in C,$$

$$h(X) \subseteq F \text{ implies } h(\alpha) \in F.$$

A g-matrix $A$ is said to constitute a g-matrix semantics for a propositional logic $S = \langle \mathcal{L}, C \rangle$ in case $C^A = C$.

Consider now a referential algebra $A$ over a set $T$ of reference points. Let, for all $t \in T$,

$$D_t = \{a \in A : t \in a\}.$$

Define the collection $\mathcal{D} = \{D_t : t \in T\}$. We call $\langle A, \mathcal{D} \rangle$ the referential g-matrix associated with the referential algebra $A$.

It can be shown that the consequence operator $C^{\langle A, \mathcal{D} \rangle}$ generated by the g-matrix system $\langle A, \mathcal{D} \rangle$ is identical to $C^A$. Thus, it follows that, unless $S$ is self-extensional it does not possess a referential g-matrix semantics.

To address this shortcoming of referential g-matrices in providing a semantics for arbitrary propositional logics, Malinowski introduced in [8] pseudo-referential g-matrices, as a generalization of referential g-matrices, and showed that every propositional logic possesses a pseudo-referential g-matrix semantics.

Let, once more, $T$ be a set of reference points and consider, also, a collection $T^* \subseteq \mathcal{P}(T)$ of subsets of $T$. According to [8] a pseudo-referential
g-matrix $\mathcal{A} = (A, \mathcal{D})$ relative to $(T, T^*)$ is a g-matrix, such that $A$ is a referential algebra based on $T$ and

$$\mathcal{D} = \{\{a \in A : (\exists t \in t^*)(t \in a)\} : t^* \in T^*\} = \{\{a \in A : a \cap t^* \neq \emptyset\} : t^* \in T^*\}.$$ 

Note that this concept generalizes referentiality, since a referential g-matrix associated with a referential algebra $A$ based on $T$ is obtained as a special case of a pseudo-referential g-matrix relative to $(T, T^*)$, with $T^* = \{\{t\} : t \in T\}$.

In the Theorem of [8] it is shown that every propositional logic $\mathcal{S}$ has a strongly adequate pseudo-referential g-matrix $A$, which may be termed the canonical pseudo-referential g-matrix associated with $\mathcal{S}$.

Malinowski’s work was followed by Marek [9]. Marek defines a discrete pseudo-referential g-matrix as a pseudo-referential g-matrix relative to a pair $(T, T^*)$, such that $T^* \subseteq \{\{t\} : t \in T\}$. She then shows that every g-matrix is isomorphic to, and, hence, generates the same sentential logic as, a discrete pseudo-referential g-matrix. Thus, since, as is well-known, every propositional logic has a strongly adequate g-matrix semantics, it follows that it also has a strongly adequate discrete pseudo-referential g-matrix semantics (see Corollary of [9]).

The author, taking after the work of Wójcicki, showed in previous work [11, 12] that a logic formalized as a $\pi$-institution (see Section 2) is referential, i.e., has a referential g-matrix system semantics, if and only if it is self-extensional. Thus, it turns out that, similarly to the case of propositional logics, for these logics, unless the condition of self-extensionality is fulfilled, no referential g-matrix system semantics is available. The present work, inspired by the previously mentioned work of Malinowski [5] and Marek [9], addresses this constraint on the availability of a referential g-matrix system semantics by introducing a pseudo-referential g-matrix system semantics (see Section 4). It is shown in Theorem 5 that every $\pi$-institution possesses a pseudo-referential g-matrix system semantics. Finally, improving on this result, we show in Section 7, in a parallel to the Theorem of Marek [9], that, for every g-matrix system, there exists a discrete pseudo-referential g-matrix system that generates the same closure system (see Theorem 6). It then follows that every logic formalized as a $\pi$-institution has a discrete pseudo-referential g-matrix semantics.
2. π-Institutions and Closure Systems

We describe π-institutions [1] (see, also [6] for the closely related notion of an institution) on which our logical systems will be based.

Let \( |\text{Sign}| \) be a category, called the category of signatures. Let \( \text{SEN}^\flat : |\text{Sign}| \to \text{Set} \) be a set-valued functor, called the sentence functor. Let \( N^\flat \) be a category of natural transformations on \( \text{SEN}^\flat \) (see Section 2 of [12]). We call the triple \( A^\flat = (\text{Sign}^\flat, \text{SEN}^\flat, N^\flat) \) the base algebraic system.

A collection \( T^\flat = \{ T^\flat_{\Sigma}\} \) \( \Sigma \in |\text{Sign}| \) such that \( T^\flat_{\Sigma} \subseteq \text{SEN}^\flat(\Sigma) \), for all \( \Sigma \in |\text{Sign}| \), is called a sentence family of \( A^\flat \).

A π-institution based on \( A^\flat \) is a pair \( I = (A^\flat, C) \), where
\[
C = \{ C^\flat_{\Sigma} \} \quad |\text{Sign}| \to \text{Set}
\]
is a closure (operator) system, i.e., a \( |\text{Sign}| \)-indexed collection of closure operators \( C^\flat_{\Sigma} : \mathcal{P}(\text{SEN}^\flat(\Sigma)) \to \mathcal{P}(\text{SEN}^\flat(\Sigma)) \) that satisfy the structurality condition:

For all \( \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2 \in |\text{Sign}| \), \( f \in \text{Sign}^\flat(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2) \) and \( \Phi \subseteq \text{SEN}^\flat(\Sigma_1) \),
\[
\text{SEN}^\flat(f)(C^\flat_{\Sigma_1}(\Phi)) \subseteq C^\flat_{\Sigma_2}(\text{SEN}^\flat(f)(\Phi)).
\]

For \( \Sigma \in |\text{Sign}| \), a set \( T^\flat_{\Sigma} \subseteq \text{SEN}^\flat(\Sigma) \) is called a Σ-theory of \( I \) if it is closed under consequence, i.e., if \( C^\flat_{\Sigma}(T^\flat_{\Sigma}) = T^\flat_{\Sigma} \). The collection of all Σ-theories of \( I \) is denoted by \( \text{Th}_{\Sigma}(I) \). A collection \( T^I = \{ T^\flat_{\Sigma}\} \) \( \Sigma \in |\text{Sign}| \) such that \( T^\flat_{\Sigma} \in \text{Th}_{\Sigma}(I) \), for all \( \Sigma \in |\text{Sign}| \), is called a theory family of \( I \). The collection of all theory families of \( I \) is denoted by \( \text{ThFam}(I) \). It is well-known that they form a complete lattice under signature-wise inclusion \( \preceq \), whose meet coincides with signature-wise intersection.

Note that closure systems on \( A^I \) are ordered as follows:
\[
C^1 \preceq C^2 \iff \text{ for all } \Sigma \in |\text{Sign}|, \Phi \subseteq \text{SEN}^\flat(\Sigma),
C^1_{\Sigma}(\Phi) \subseteq C^2_{\Sigma}(\Phi).
\]

Under this ordering the collection of all closure systems on \( A^I \) also forms a complete lattice whose meet is given by signature-wise intersection.

Given a base algebraic system \( A^I = (\text{Sign}^I, \text{SEN}^I, N^I) \), an \( N^I \)-algebraic system \( A = (\text{Sign}, \text{SEN}, N) \) is an algebraic system, such that there exists a surjective functor \( N^I \to N \) preserving all projection natural transformations and, as a consequence, also all the arities of the natural transformations.
involved. We denote by $\sigma : \text{SEN}^k \to \text{SEN}$ the natural transformation that is the image of $\sigma^i : (\text{SEN}^i)^k \to \text{SEN}^k$ in $N^1$, an, in general use similar typing conventions to keep track of mappings of natural transformations in $N^1$ to those on $N^1$-algebraic systems.

An interpreted $N^1$-algebraic system is a pair $\mathcal{A} = \langle A, (F, \alpha) \rangle$, where

- $A$ is an $N^1$-algebraic system and
- $(F, \alpha) : A^I \to A$ is an algebraic system morphism.

We will use the term algebraic system to refer to both an $N^k$-algebraic system and an interpreted $N^1$-algebraic system relying on the context to clear the ambiguity.

Let $A^I$ be an algebraic system and $\mathcal{I} = \langle A^I, C \rangle$ a $\pi$-institution based on $A^I$. We define, next, the notion of a matrix system and of a g-matrix system for $A^I$ and of a matrix system model and g-matrix system model for $\mathcal{I}$.

A matrix system for $A^I$ is a pair $\mathfrak{A} = \langle \mathfrak{A}, T \rangle$, where $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, (F, \alpha) \rangle$ is an interpreted algebraic system and $T$ is a sentence family of $A$.

A matrix system $\mathfrak{A}$ defines a closure system $C^\mathfrak{A}$ (and hence a $\pi$-institution $\mathcal{I}^\mathfrak{A} = \langle A^I, C^\mathfrak{A} \rangle$) on $A^I$ as follows: For all $\Sigma \in \text{Sign}^I$ and all $\Phi \cup \{ \varphi \} \subseteq \text{SEN}^I(\Sigma)$,

$$\varphi \in C^\mathfrak{A}_\Sigma(\Phi) \iff \Phi \models^\mathfrak{A}_\Sigma \varphi,$$

where the relation on the right means that, for all $\Sigma' \in \text{Sign}^I$ and all $f \in \text{Sign}^I(\Sigma, \Sigma')$,

$$\alpha_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}^I(f)(\Phi)) \subseteq T_{F(\Sigma') \Sigma'} \implies \alpha_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}^I(\varphi)) \in T_{F(\Sigma') \Sigma'}.$$

A generalized matrix system for $A^I$ (or g-matrix system, for short) is a pair $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, T \rangle$, where $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, (F, \alpha) \rangle$ is an interpreted algebraic system and $T$ is a collection of sentence families of $A$.

A g-matrix system $\mathfrak{A}$ defines a closure system $C^\mathfrak{A}$ (and hence a $\pi$-institution $\mathcal{I}^\mathfrak{A} = \langle A^I, C^\mathfrak{A} \rangle$) on $A^I$ by setting $C^\mathfrak{A} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{A} \in \mathfrak{A}} C^\mathfrak{A}$, where $\mathfrak{A} = \{ (A, T) | T \in \mathfrak{A} \}$ means that $T \in T$. Thus, equivalently, for all $\Sigma \in \text{Sign}^I$ and all $\Phi \cup \{ \varphi \} \subseteq \text{SEN}^I(\Sigma)$,

$$\varphi \in C^\mathfrak{A}_\Sigma(\Phi) \iff (\forall \mathfrak{A} \in \mathfrak{A})(\Phi \models^\mathfrak{A}_\Sigma \varphi).$$

A matrix system model for $\mathcal{I} = \langle A^I, C \rangle$ or an $\mathcal{I}$-matrix system is a matrix system $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, T \rangle$ for $A^I$, such that $C \subseteq C^\mathfrak{A}$.

Similarly, a g-matrix system model for $\mathcal{I}$ or an $\mathcal{I}$-g-matrix system is a g-matrix system $\mathfrak{A}$, such that $C \subseteq C^\mathfrak{A}$. 
3. Referential $\pi$-Institutions

In this work we focus on a special kind of (interpreted) $N^\flat$-algebraic system $A = \langle A, \langle F, \alpha \rangle \rangle$, $A = \langle \text{Sign}, \text{SEN}, N \rangle$. We require that, for all $\Sigma \in \text{Sign}$, there is a set $PTS(\Sigma)$, called the set of $\Sigma$-reference or $\Sigma$-base points, and that, for all $\Sigma \in \text{Sign}$, $\text{SEN}(\Sigma) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(PTS(\Sigma))$, i.e., each $\Sigma$-sentence is a set of $\Sigma$-points.

In this context, an interpretation $\langle F, \alpha \rangle : A^\flat \rightarrow A$ will be viewed as a valuation of sentences of $A^\flat$ in the following way: For all $\Sigma \in \text{Sign}^\flat$ and all $\varphi \in \text{SEN}^\flat(\Sigma)$, $\varphi$ is true at $p \in PTS(F(\Sigma))$ under $\langle F, \alpha \rangle$ iff $p \in \alpha(\varphi)$.

An algebraic system of this special form is called a referential algebraic system and said to be based on $PTS$.

Note that this definition is a generalized version of the one given in Section 3 of [12]. The generalization stems from the fact that, in the present context, we no longer insist that the sentence functor $\text{SEN}$ be a simple subfunctor (having the same domain) of the inverse powerset of a contravariant functor $\text{Sign} \rightarrow \text{Set}^\text{op}$.

Let $A = \langle A, \langle F, \alpha \rangle \rangle$ be an interpreted referential $N^\flat$-algebraic system. Then $A$ determines a closure system $C^A$ on $A^\flat$ according to the following definition:

For all $\Sigma \in \text{Sign}^\flat$ and all $\Phi \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq \text{SEN}^\flat(\Sigma)$, $\varphi \in C^A_{\Sigma}(\Phi)$ iff, for all $\Sigma' \in \text{Sign}^\flat$ and all $f \in \text{Sign}^\flat(\Sigma, \Sigma')$,

$$\bigcap_{\varphi \in \Phi} \alpha(\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi)) \subseteq \alpha(\text{SEN}(f)^\flat(\varphi)).$$

Essentially the same proof as that of Proposition 1 of [12] yields the following

**Proposition 1** (Proposition 1 of [12]). Let $A^\flat = \langle \text{Sign}^\flat, \text{SEN}^\flat, N^\flat \rangle$ be a base algebraic system and $A = \langle A, \langle F, \alpha \rangle \rangle$ an interpreted referential $N^\flat$-algebraic system. Then $C^A$ is a closure system on $A^\flat$.

Since $C^A$ is a closure system on $A^\flat$, the pair $I^A = \langle A^\flat, C^A \rangle$ is a $\pi$-institution. We call an institution having this form a referential $\pi$-institution. Such $\pi$-institutions correspond in the theory of categorical abstract algebraic logic to the referential propositional logics of Wójcicki [10].

Let $A^\flat = \langle \text{Sign}^\flat, \text{SEN}^\flat, N^\flat \rangle$ be a base algebraic system and $I = \langle A^\flat, C \rangle$ a $\pi$-institution based on $A^\flat$. We define the Frege equivalence system
Λ(ℐ) of ℐ, also known as the interderivability equivalence system, by setting, for all Σ ∈ [Sign] and all ϕ, ψ ∈ SEN(Σ),

\( \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \in \Lambda(\mathcal{I}) \) if and only if \( C_{\Sigma}(\varphi) = C_{\Sigma}(\psi) \).

The Tarski congruence system \( \tilde{\Omega}(\mathcal{I}) \) of \( \mathcal{I} \) (for the universal algebraic notion and [1] for its categorical extension) is the largest congruence system on \( \mathcal{A}^{\bigcirc} \) that is compatible with every theory family \( T \in \text{ThFam}(\mathcal{I}) \).

Clearly, it is always the case that \( \tilde{\Omega}(\mathcal{I}) \leq \Lambda(\mathcal{I}) \). We call the π-institution \( \mathcal{I} \) self-extensional if \( \Lambda(\mathcal{I}) = \tilde{\Omega}(\mathcal{I}) \).

A generalization to π-institutions of Wójcicki’s Theorem (see Theorem 2 of [10], but, also, Theorem 2.2 of [7] for a complete proof), provides a characterization of referential sentential logics. This is essentially Theorem 8 of [12], with the aforementioned generalization pertaining to the signature category not affecting the proof.

**Theorem 2 (Theorem 8 of [12]).** A π-institution \( \mathcal{I} = (\mathcal{A}^{\bigcirc}, C) \) is referential if and only if it is self-extensional.

We recall here a version of the construction of the canonical referential algebraic system associated with a given selfextensional π-institution that witnesses one implication of Theorem 2.

Let \( \mathcal{I} = (\mathcal{A}^{\bigcirc}, C) \), with \( \mathcal{A}^{\bigcirc} = \langle \text{Sign}^{\bigcirc}, \text{SEN}^{\bigcirc}, N^{\bigcirc} \rangle \), be a self-extensional π-institution. For each Σ ∈ [Sign], we take as the set of Σ-points the set \( \text{Th}_{\Sigma}(\mathcal{I}) \) of Σ-theories of \( \mathcal{I} \).

Define the functor \( \text{SEN} : \text{Sign} \rightarrow \text{Set} \) as follows:

For every Σ ∈ [Sign],

\[ \text{SEN}(\Sigma) = \{ \text{Th}_{\Sigma}(\varphi) : \varphi \in \text{SEN}(\Sigma) \} , \]

where \( \text{Th}_{\Sigma}(\varphi) = \{ T \in \text{Th}_{\Sigma}(\mathcal{I}) : \varphi \in T \} \), for all Σ ∈ [Sign] and all \( \varphi \in \text{SEN}(\Sigma) \).

Moreover, for all \( \Sigma, \Sigma' \in [\text{Sign}] \), and all \( f \in [\text{Sign}](\Sigma, \Sigma') \), we define \( \text{SEN}(f) : \text{SEN}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{SEN}(\Sigma') \) by setting

\[ \text{SEN}(f)(\text{Th}_{\Sigma}(\varphi)) = \text{Th}_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi)) , \]

for all Σ ∈ [Sign] and all \( \varphi \in \text{SEN}(\Sigma) \).

Define the category of natural transformations \( \mathcal{N} \) on \( \text{SEN} \) as follows:

For every \( \sigma : (\text{SEN})^k \rightarrow \text{SEN}^k \) in \( N^k \), let \( \sigma : \text{SEN}^k \rightarrow \text{SEN} \) be defined by letting, for all Σ ∈ [Sign], \( \sigma_{\Sigma} : \text{SEN}(\Sigma)^k \rightarrow \text{SEN}(\Sigma) \) be given by
\[ \sigma_\Sigma(\text{Th}_\Sigma(\varphi_0), \ldots, \text{Th}_\Sigma(\varphi_{k-1})) = \text{Th}_\Sigma(\sigma_\Sigma^1(\varphi_0, \ldots, \varphi_{k-1})), \]

for all \( \varphi_0, \ldots, \varphi_{k-1} \in \text{SEN}^3(\Sigma) \). Using self-extensionality one may show that this is well-defined. Moreover, \( \sigma \) is a natural transformation and the collection of natural transformations, thus defined, forms a category of natural transformations on \( \text{SEN} \). So the triple \( A = (\text{Sign}^b, \text{SEN}, N) \) constitutes an \( N^b \)-algebraic system.

Finally, the canonical referential algebraic system associated with \( I \) is defined by \( A = (A, (I, \alpha)) \), where:

- \( I : \text{Sign}^1 \to \text{Sign}^b \) is the identity functor;
- \( \alpha : \text{SEN}^1 \to \text{SEN} \) is the natural transformation defined by letting, for all \( \Sigma \in [\text{Sign}^1] \), \( \alpha_\Sigma : \text{SEN}^1(\Sigma) \to \text{SEN}(\Sigma) \) be given by

\[ \alpha_\Sigma(\varphi) = \text{Th}_\Sigma(\varphi), \text{ for all } \varphi \in \text{SEN}^1(\Sigma). \]

Note, now, that, for all \( \Sigma, \Sigma' \in [\text{Sign}^1], f \in \text{Sign}^1(\Sigma, \Sigma') \) and \( \varphi \in \text{SEN}^1(\Sigma) \),

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
\text{SEN}^1(\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_\Sigma} & \text{SEN}(\Sigma) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{SEN}^1(f) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\Sigma'}} & \text{SEN}(f) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{SEN}^1(\Sigma') & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\Sigma'}} & \text{SEN}(\Sigma') \\
\end{array} \]

\[ \text{SEN}(f)(\alpha_\Sigma(\varphi)) = \text{SEN}(f)(\text{Th}_\Sigma(\varphi)) = \text{Th}_\Sigma(\text{SEN}^1(f)(\varphi)) = \alpha_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}^1(f)(\varphi)). \]

It can be shown that, if \( I \) is self-extensional, then \( A \) is well-defined and, moreover, \( I = I_A \). Thus, \( I \) is referential.

4. Pseudo-Referential Matrix Systems

Let \( A^1 = (\text{Sign}^1, \text{SEN}^1, N^1) \) be a base algebraic system and \( A = (A, (F, \alpha)) \) a referential \( N^1 \)-algebraic system based on PTS. The algebraic system \( A \) will be said to be supported if it is endowed with a collection \( S = \{ S^i : i \in I \} \) of base point families

\[ S^i = \{ S_{\Sigma}^i \}_{\Sigma \in [\text{Sign}^1]}, \]

where \( S_{\Sigma}^i \subseteq \text{PTS}(\Sigma) \), for all \( i \in I \) and all \( \Sigma \in [\text{Sign}^1] \). We refer to \( S \) as the support of \( A \) in this case.
Given a supported algebraic system $A$, with support $S$, a **pseudo-referential g-matrix system relative to** $(PTS, S)$ is a pair

$$\mathfrak{h} = (A, T),$$

where $T = \{T_i : i \in I\}$ is a collection of sentence families $T^i = \{T^i_{\Sigma} \}_{\Sigma \in \text{Sign}}$, such that, for all $i \in I$ and all $\Sigma \in \text{Sign}$,

$$T^i_{\Sigma} = \{X \in \text{SEN}(\Sigma) : X \cap S_i = \emptyset\}.$$

We close this section with two properties of pseudo-referential g-matrix systems. The first states that, in a precise model-theoretic sense, pseudo-referential g-matrix systems encompass referential algebraic systems. The second characterizes the closure system $C^A$ induced by a pseudo-referential g-matrix system on the base algebraic system $A^\#$.

Let $A = (A, (F, \alpha))$ be a referential algebraic system, based on PTS. Consider the set $P$ of all $\text{Sign}$-indexed tuples $P$ such that, for some $\Sigma \in \text{Sign}$,

$$P_{\Sigma'} \begin{cases} \in \{p \} : p \in \text{PTS}(\Sigma) & \text{if } \Sigma' = \Sigma \\ = \emptyset & \text{if } \Sigma' \neq \Sigma \end{cases}$$

Consider the pseudo-referential g-matrix system $\mathfrak{h}(A) = (A, T)$ relative to $(PTS, P)$. This is called the **pseudo-referential g-matrix system associated with** $A$. Then we have the following:

**Lemma 3.** Let $A^\# = (\text{Sign}^\#, \text{SEN}^\#, N^\#)$ be a base algebraic system, $A = (A, (F, \alpha))$ a referential $N^\#$-algebraic system and $\mathfrak{h}(A) = (A, T)$ the pseudo-referential g-matrix system associated with $A$. Then $C^A = C^\mathfrak{h}(A)$.

**Proof:** This follows easily from the fact that, according to the definitions involved, for all $\Sigma \in \text{Sign}^\#$ and all $\varphi \in \text{SEN}^\#(\Sigma)$, we have

$$p \in \alpha_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}^\#(f)(\varphi)) \iff \alpha_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}^\#(f)(\varphi)) \cap \{p\} \neq \emptyset,$$

for all $\Sigma' \in \text{Sign}^\#$, all $f \in \text{Sign}^\#(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ and all $p \in \text{PTS}(\Sigma')$. 

Thus, by identifying $A$ with $\mathfrak{h}(A)$ we may view referential algebraic semantics in the sense of [12] as a special case of pseudo-referential g-matrix system semantics.

We now obtain the following characterization of $C^A$ for an arbitrary pseudo-referential g-matrix system $A$. 

Theorem 2, which implies that not every \( \pi \)-institution has a referential algebraic semantics.

By the definition of \( T^i \), this is equivalent to having, for all \( \Sigma' \in |\text{Sign}^i| \), \( f \in \text{Sign}^i(\Sigma, \Sigma') \) and all \( i \in I \),

\[
\alpha_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}(f)(\Phi)) \subseteq \{ X \in \text{SEN}(F(\Sigma')) : X \cap T^i_{F(\Sigma')} \neq \emptyset \}
\]

implies \( \alpha_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}^i(f)(\varphi)) \subseteq \{ X \in \text{SEN}(F(\Sigma')) : X \cap T^i_{F(\Sigma')} \neq \emptyset \} \).

Equivalently, for all \( \Sigma' \in |\text{Sign}^i| \), \( f \in \text{Sign}^i(\Sigma, \Sigma') \) and all \( i \in I \),

\[
\alpha_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}^i(f)(\varphi)) \cap S^i_{F(\Sigma')} \neq \emptyset, \text{ for all } \varphi \in \Phi,
\]

implies \( \alpha_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}^i(f)(\varphi)) \cap S^i_{F(\Sigma')} \neq \emptyset. \)

\( \square \)

5. Universality of the Semantics

In this section we show that every \( \pi \)-institution has a pseudo-referential matrix semantics. This contrasts with Theorem 2 which implies that not every \( \pi \)-institution has a referential algebraic semantics.

Theorem 5. Let \( \mathcal{I} = (\mathbf{A}^i, C) \) be a \( \pi \)-institution based on an algebraic system \( \mathbf{A}^i = (\text{Sign}^i, \text{SEN}^i, N^i) \). Then, there exists a pseudo-referential \( g \)-matrix system \( \mathcal{A} = (\mathbf{A}, \mathcal{T}) \) relative to a pair \( (\text{PTS}, \mathcal{S}) \), such that \( \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}^i \), i.e., \( C = C^i \).

Proof: Let \( \text{Sign} = \text{Sign}^i \). For all \( \Sigma \in |\text{Sign}^i| \), let \( \text{PTS}(\Sigma) = \text{SEN}^i(\Sigma) \). Now we define \( \mathbf{A} = (\text{Sign}, \text{SEN}, N) \) based on PTS as follows:
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• \( \text{SEN}(\Sigma) = \{ (\varphi) : \varphi \in \text{SEN}^3(\Sigma) \} \), for all \( \Sigma \in [\text{Sign}]^3 \). And, given, \( \Sigma, \Sigma' \in [\text{Sign}]^3, f \in \text{Sign}^3(\Sigma, \Sigma') \),

\[
\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi) = \{ \text{SEN}^3(f)(\varphi) \}, \text{ for all } \varphi \in \text{SEN}^3(\Sigma).
\]

• For all \( \sigma : (\text{SEN}^3)^k \rightarrow \text{SEN}^3 \) in \( N^3 \), all \( \Sigma \in [\text{Sign}]^3 \) and all \( \varphi_0, \ldots, \varphi_{k-1} \in \text{SEN}^3(\Sigma)^k \),

\[
\sigma_\Sigma(\{(\varphi_0), \ldots, (\varphi_{k-1})\}) = \{ \sigma^\Sigma(\varphi_0), \ldots, (\varphi_{k-1}) \}.
\]

We let \( N \) consist of all natural transformations of this form. It is not difficult to see that, with these definitions, the triple \( A = (\text{Sign}, \text{SEN}, N) \) becomes a referential \( N^3 \)-algebraic system based on PTS.

Next, define \( (I, \alpha) : A^3 \rightarrow A \) by setting

• \( I : \text{Sign}^3 \rightarrow \text{Sign} \) the identity functor;

• For all \( \Sigma \in [\text{Sign}]^3 \) and all \( \varphi \in \text{SEN}^3(\Sigma) \), \( \alpha_\Sigma(\varphi) = (\varphi) \).

Now \( A = (A, (I, \alpha)) \) is an interpreted referential \( N^3 \)-algebraic system.

Let \( S = \text{ThFam}(I) = \{ S^i : i \in I \} \). This determines the pseudo-referential g-matrix system \( A = (A, T) \) relative to \( (\text{PTS}, S) \). We have that \( T = \{ T^i : i \in I \} \), with \( T^i = \{ T^i_\Sigma \}_{\Sigma \in [\text{Sign}]} \) given, for all \( i \in I \) and all \( \Sigma \in [\text{Sign}] \), by

\[
T^i_\Sigma = \{ \{ \varphi \} \in \text{SEN}(\Sigma) : \{ \varphi \} \cap S^i_\Sigma \neq \emptyset \} = \{ \{ \varphi \} \in \text{SEN}(\Sigma) : \varphi \in S^i_\Sigma \},
\]

We prove that \( C = C^A \), i.e., that, for all \( \Sigma \in [\text{Sign}]^3 \) and all \( \Phi \cup \{ \varphi \} \subseteq \text{SEN}^3(\Sigma) \),

\[
\varphi \in C^\Sigma_\Sigma(\Phi) \text{ iff } \varphi \in C^A_\Sigma(\Phi).
\]

\( \Rightarrow \): Suppose that \( \varphi \in C^{\Sigma}(\Phi) \). Let \( \Sigma' \in [\text{Sign}]^3, f \in \text{Sign}^3(\Sigma, \Sigma') \) and \( i \in I \), such that \( \alpha_\Sigma(\{ \text{SEN}^3(f)(\varphi) \}) \subseteq T^i_{\Sigma'}. \) For all \( \phi \in \Phi \) By the definition of \( \alpha \), this holds iff \( \{ \text{SEN}^3(f)(\varphi) \} \subseteq T^i_{\Sigma'}. \) For all \( \phi \in \Phi \) By the expression given above for \( T^i_\Sigma \), this holds iff \( \text{SEN}^3(f)(\varphi) \) \( \subseteq S^i_{\Sigma'}. \) For all \( \phi \in \Phi \), i.e., iff \( \text{SEN}^3(f)(\varphi) \subseteq S^i_{\Sigma'}. \) Then, since by hypothesis \( \varphi \in C^{\Sigma}(\Phi) \), we get \( \text{SEN}^3(f)(\varphi) \subseteq S^i_{\Sigma'}. \) This shows that \( \{ \text{SEN}^3(f)(\varphi) \} \subseteq T^i_{\Sigma'} \), or, equivalently, \( \alpha_\Sigma(\text{SEN}^3(f)(\varphi)) \subseteq T^i_{\Sigma'}. \) Therefore, \( \varphi \in C^A_\Sigma(\Phi) \).

\( \Leftarrow \): Suppose that \( \varphi \in C^A_\Sigma(\Phi) \). Let \( i \in I \), such that \( \Phi \subseteq S^i_{\Sigma'}. \) This is equivalent to \( \{ \phi \} \subseteq T^i_{\Sigma'}. \) For all \( \phi \in \Phi \). Since, by hypothesis \( \varphi \in C^A_\Sigma(\Phi) \),
we get that $\{\varphi\} \in T^i_{\Sigma}$. Equivalently, $\varphi \in S^i_{\Sigma}$. Since $i \in I$ was arbitrary, we get that $\varphi \in C_{\Sigma}(\Psi)$. 

We call the pseudo-referential g-matrix system $A$, constructed in the proof of Theorem 5, such that $I^A = I$, the canonical pseudo-referential g-matrix system associated with $I$.

6. Selfextensional $\pi$-Institutions

In this section, we start with a selfextensional $\pi$-institution $I$ and show how, starting from the canonical pseudo-referential g-matrix system associated with $I$, a process of dividing out by the Frege equivalence system of $I$ (which is a congruence system due to selfextensionality), leads to the canonical referential g-matrix system for $I$ constructed in [12]. We present an outline, omitting some of the details that are easy to check.

Let $I = (A^1, C)$ be a selfextensional $\pi$-institution based on the algebraic system $A^1 = (\text{Sign}^1, \text{SEN}^1, N^1)$. Consider the canonical pseudo-referential g-matrix system $A = (A, T)$ associated with $I$, based on $(PTS, S)$, with $A = (A, \{F, \alpha\})$ and $A = (\text{Sign}^1, \text{SEN}, N)$, as constructed in the proof of Theorem 5.

Recall that the Frege equivalence system $\Lambda(I) = \{\Lambda_{\Sigma}(I)\}_{\Sigma \in \text{Sign}^1}$ of $I$ is defined, for all $\Sigma \in \text{Sign}^1$ and all $\varphi, \psi \in \text{SEN}^1(\Sigma)$, by

$$\{\varphi, \psi\} \in \Lambda_{\Sigma}(I) \text{ iff } C_{\Sigma}(\varphi) = C_{\Sigma}(\psi).$$

By selfextensionality, $\Lambda(I)$ is a congruence system on $A^1$ and, in fact, coincides with the Tarski congruence system $\tilde{\Omega}(I)$.

We define on the underlying algebraic system $A = (\text{Sign}^1, \text{SEN}, N)$ of the canonical pseudo-referential g-matrix system $A$ associated with $I$ the relation family $\equiv^I = \{\equiv^I_{\Sigma}\}_{\Sigma \in \text{Sign}^1}$, by setting, for all $\Sigma \in \text{Sign}^1$ and all $\varphi, \psi \in \text{SEN}^1(\Sigma)$,

$$\{\varphi\} \equiv^I_{\Sigma} \{\psi\} \text{ iff } \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \in \Lambda_{\Sigma}(I).$$

Clearly, $\equiv^I$ is an equivalence family on $A$. Moreover, it is an equivalence system because of structurality. This establishes that the quotient functor $\text{SEN}^{\equiv^I} := \text{SEN}/\equiv^I : \text{Sign}^1 \to \text{Set}$ is well-defined (see [13]).
Note that $\text{SEN}^{\pi^\Sigma}$ may be considered as a point-based functor, based on $\text{Th}(I) = \{\text{Th}_\Sigma(I)\}_{\Sigma \in \text{[Sign]}}$ under the identification

$$\{\varphi\}/\equiv^\Sigma \leftrightarrow \text{Th}_\Sigma(\varphi),$$

for all $\varphi \in \text{SEN}^i(\Sigma), \Sigma \in \text{[Sign]}^i$ (which is well-defined by the definition of $\pi^\Sigma$).

Next, observe that, by the self-extensionality of $\mathcal{I}$, the equivalence system $\equiv^\Sigma$ is actually a congruence system on $A$. In fact, for all $\sigma^k : (\text{SEN}^i)^k \rightarrow \text{SEN}^i$ in $N^i$, for all $\Sigma \in \text{[Sign]}^i$ and all $\varphi_0, \psi_0, \ldots, \varphi_{k-1}, \psi_{k-1} \in \text{SEN}^i(\Sigma)$, such that $\{\varphi_i\} \equiv^\Sigma \{\psi_i\}$, for all $i < k$, we get that $C_{\Sigma}(\varphi_i) = C_{\Sigma}(\psi_i)$, for all $i \in I$, whence by self-extensionality, $C_{\Sigma}(\sigma^k_{\Sigma}(\varphi_0, \ldots, \varphi_{k-1})) = C_{\Sigma}(\sigma^k_{\Sigma}(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_{k-1}))$, giving that $\{\sigma^k_{\Sigma}(\varphi_0, \ldots, \varphi_{k-1})\} \equiv^\Sigma \{\sigma^k_{\Sigma}(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_{k-1})\}$. But, by the definition of $\sigma : \text{SEN}^k \rightarrow \text{SEN}$, the latter is equivalent to $\sigma_{\Sigma}(\{\varphi_0\}, \ldots, \{\varphi_{k-1}\}) \equiv^\Sigma \sigma_{\Sigma}(\{\psi_0\}, \ldots, \{\psi_{k-1}\})$.

Now we conclude that the quotient $A^{\pi^\Sigma} := A/\equiv^\Sigma = (\text{Sign}^i, \text{SEN}^{\pi^\Sigma}, N^{\pi^\Sigma})$ is a well-defined $N^i$-algebraic system.

Finally, recall that $T = \{T^i : i \in I\}$, with $T^i = \{T^i_{\Sigma}\}_{\Sigma \in \text{[Sign]}}$ given, for all $i \in I$ and all $\Sigma \in \text{[Sign]}$, by

$$T^i_{\Sigma} = \{\{\varphi\} \in \text{SEN}(\Sigma) : \varphi \in S^i_{\Sigma}\}.$$  

We note that $\equiv^\Sigma$ is compatible with $T^i$, for all $i$, and, therefore, it is a (g-matrix) congruence system of $A = (A, T)$. In fact, for all $\Sigma \in \text{[Sign]}^i$ and all $\varphi, \psi \in \text{SEN}^i(\Sigma)$, such that $\{\varphi\} \equiv^\Sigma \{\psi\}$ and $\{\varphi\} \in T^i_{\Sigma}$, we get that $C_{\Sigma}(\varphi) = C_{\Sigma}(\psi)$ and $\varphi \in S^i_{\Sigma} \subseteq \text{Th}_\Sigma(I)$. Hence, we obtain $\psi \in S^i_{\Sigma}$, which shows that $\{\psi\} \in T^i_{\Sigma}$.

It follows that the quotient g-matrix system $A^{\pi^\Sigma} = (A^{\pi^\Sigma}, T^{\pi^\Sigma})$ is well-defined.

To establish the equivalence of the canonical referential g-matrix system associated with $\mathcal{I}$ with the quotient $A^{\pi^\Sigma}$ of the canonical pseudo-referential g-matrix system $A$ associated with $\mathcal{I}$ it suffices to note that the mapping

$$\text{Th}_\Sigma(\varphi) \mapsto \{\varphi\}/\equiv^\Sigma,$$

for all $\Sigma \in \text{[Sign]}^i, \varphi \in \text{SEN}^i(\Sigma)$, determines an isomorphism between these two g-matrix systems.
7. Discrete Pseudo-Referential Matrix Systems

Let $A^i = (\text{Sign}^i, \text{SEN}^i, N^i)$ be an algebraic system and $\mathcal{A} = \langle A, \mathcal{T} \rangle$ a pseudo-referential g-matrix system relative to some (PTS, $S$), with $S = \{S^i : i \in I\}$, i.e., such that $\mathcal{T} = \{T^i : i \in I\}$, with

$$T^i_\Sigma = \{X \in \text{SEN}(\Sigma) : X \cap S^i_\Sigma \neq \emptyset\},$$

for all $\Sigma \in |\text{Sign}|$ and all $i \in I$.

The pseudo-referential g-matrix system $A$ will be called discrete if, for all $i \in I$, there exists $\Sigma_i \in |\text{Sign}|$, such that, for all $\Sigma \in |\text{Sign}|$,

$$S^i_\Sigma = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \{ p \in \text{PTS}(\Sigma_i) \} & \text{if } \Sigma = \Sigma_i, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$ 

In this section, taking after the work of Marek [9], we show that every $\pi$-institution $I = \langle A^1, C \rangle$ has a strongly adequate discrete pseudo-referential matrix system semantics. This is done by exhibiting, for every g-matrix system, an equivalent discrete pseudo-referential g-matrix system.

**Theorem 6.** Let $A^i = (\text{Sign}^i, \text{SEN}^i, N^i)$ be an algebraic system. For every $N^i$-g-matrix system $\mathcal{A}^# = \langle A^#, T^# \rangle$, with $A^# = \langle A^#, (F^#, \alpha^#) \rangle$, $A^# = \langle \text{Sign}^#, \text{SEN}^#, N^# \rangle$, there exists a discrete pseudo-referential g-matrix system $\mathcal{A} = \langle A, \mathcal{T} \rangle$ relative to some (PTS, $S$), such that $\mathcal{T}^# = I^#_{\mathcal{A}}$.

**Proof:** Let $A^i = (\text{Sign}^i, \text{SEN}^i, N^i)$ be an algebraic system. Consider an $N^i$-g-matrix system $\mathcal{A}^# = \langle A^#, T^# \rangle$, with $A^# = \langle A^#, (F^#, \alpha^#) \rangle$, $A^# = \langle \text{Sign}^#, \text{SEN}^#, N^# \rangle$ and $T^# = \{T^#_i : i \in I\}$.

For all $\Sigma \in |\text{Sign}^#|$, consider a collection $\{x^i_\Sigma : i \in I\}$, where, for all $i \in I$, $x^i_\Sigma \in \text{SEN}^#(\Sigma)$ and, for all $i, j \in I$, with $i \neq j$, $x^i_\Sigma \neq x^j_\Sigma$.

Now define

$$\text{PTS}(\Sigma) = \text{SEN}^#(\Sigma) \cup \{x^i_\Sigma : i \in I\},$$

for all $\Sigma \in |\text{Sign}^#|$. Moreover, let $S = \{S^i_{\Sigma} : \Sigma \in |\text{Sign}^#|, i \in I\}$, where, for all $\Sigma \in |\text{Sign}^#|$ and all $i \in I$, $S^i_{\Sigma} = \{S^i_{\Sigma'} : \Sigma' \in |\text{Sign}^#| \}$ is defined by setting

$$S^i_{\Sigma'} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \{x^i_\Sigma\} & \text{if } \Sigma' = \Sigma \\
\emptyset & \text{if } \Sigma' \neq \Sigma \end{array} \right.,$$

for all $\Sigma' \in |\text{Sign}^#|$.
Next, define, for all \( \Sigma \in \mathbf{Sign}^\# \) and all \( \varphi \in \mathit{SEN}^\#(\Sigma) \), \( X_\varphi \subseteq \mathit{PTS}(\Sigma) \), by 
\[
p \in X_\varphi \iff p = \varphi \text{ or } (\exists i \in I)(p = x^i_\Sigma \text{ and } \varphi \in T^\#_{\Sigma,i}).
\]

**Claim:** For all \( \Sigma \in \mathbf{Sign}^\# \) and all \( \varphi, \psi \in \mathit{SEN}^\#(\Sigma) \), \( X_\varphi = X_\psi \) if and only if \( \varphi = \psi \).

**Proof of the Claim:** The “if” direction is obvious. For the “only if”, reasoning by contraposition, we note that if \( \varphi \neq \psi \), then \( \varphi \in X_\varphi \), whereas \( \varphi \notin X_\psi \). Therefore \( X_\varphi \neq X_\psi \). \( \square \)

Now define, for all \( \Sigma \in \mathbf{Sign}^\# \), 
\[
\mathit{SEN}(\Sigma) = \{X_\varphi : \varphi \in \mathit{SEN}^\#(\Sigma)\}
\]
and, moreover, for all \( \Sigma, \Sigma' \in \mathbf{Sign}^\# \) and all \( f \in \mathbf{Sign}^\#(\Sigma, \Sigma') \), let \( \mathit{SEN}(f) : \mathit{SEN}(\Sigma) \to \mathit{SEN}(\Sigma') \) be given, for all \( \varphi \in \mathit{SEN}^\#(\Sigma) \), by 
\[
\mathit{SEN}(f)(X_\varphi) = X_{\mathit{SEN}^\#(f)(\varphi)}.
\]

The fact that \( \mathbf{SEN} : \mathbf{Sign}^\# \to \mathbf{Set} \), thus defined, is a functor follows from the fact that \( \mathit{SEN}^\# \) is a functor.

Next, for all \( \sigma : (\mathit{SEN}^\#)^k \to \mathit{SEN}^\# \) in \( \mathcal{N}^\# \), we define \( \sigma : \mathit{SEN}^k \to \mathit{SEN} \) by letting, for all \( \Sigma \in \mathbf{Sign}^\# \), \( \sigma_\Sigma : \mathit{SEN}(\Sigma)^k \to \mathit{SEN}(\Sigma) \) be given by 
\[
\sigma_\Sigma(X_{\varphi_0}, \ldots, X_{\varphi_{k-1}}) = X_{\mathit{SEN}^\#(\varphi_0, \ldots, \varphi_{k-1})},
\]
for all \( \varphi_0, \ldots, \varphi_{k-1} \in \mathit{SEN}^\#(\Sigma) \).

This is well-defined by the preceding claim and, moreover, it is a bona fide natural transformation, since, for all \( \Sigma, \Sigma' \in \mathbf{Sign}^\# \), \( f \in \mathbf{Sign}^\#(\Sigma, \Sigma') \) and all \( \varphi_0, \ldots, \varphi_{k-1} \in \mathit{SEN}^\#(\Sigma) \), we have according to the preceding definitions, 
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\mathit{SEN}^k(\Sigma) \\
\mathit{SEN}^k(f) \\
\mathit{SEN}^k(\Sigma')
\end{array} \xrightarrow{\sigma_\Sigma} \begin{array}{c}
\mathit{SEN}(\Sigma) \\
\mathit{SEN}(f) \\
\mathit{SEN}(\Sigma')
\end{array}
\]
\[ \text{SEN}(f)(\sigma_{\Sigma}(X_{\varphi_0}, \ldots, X_{\varphi_{k-1}})) = \text{SEN}(f)(X_{\sigma_{\Sigma}^\#(\varphi_0, \ldots, \varphi_{k-1})}) = X_{\Sigma}(X_{\sigma_{\Sigma}^\#(\varphi_0, \ldots, \varphi_{k-1})}) = \sigma_{\Sigma}(X_{\Sigma}(f)(X_{\varphi_0}, \ldots, X_{\varphi_{k-1}})). \]

Let \( N \) be the category consisting of all natural transformations \( \sigma \), for \( \sigma^\# \) in \( N^\# \). Then the triple \( A = \langle \text{Sign}^\#, \text{SEN}, N \rangle \) is a referential \( N^\# \)-algebraic system.

Define \( F, \alpha : A^b \rightarrow A \) as follows:

- \( F : \text{Sign}^1 \rightarrow \text{Sign}^\# \) is equal to \( F^\# : \text{Sign}^1 \rightarrow \text{Sign}^\# ; \)
- \( \alpha : \text{SEN}^b \rightarrow \text{SEN} \circ F \) is defined by letting, for all \( \Sigma \in [\text{Sign}^1] \), \( \alpha_{\Sigma} : \text{SEN}^b(\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{SEN}(F(\Sigma)) \) be given by

\[ \alpha_{\Sigma}(\varphi) = X_{\alpha_{\Sigma}^\#(\varphi)}, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \text{SEN}^\#(\Sigma). \]

Again this definition makes \( \alpha : \text{SEN}^b \rightarrow \text{SEN} \circ F \) a bona fide natural transformation, since, for all \( \Sigma, \Sigma' \in [\text{Sign}^1] \), all \( f \in \text{Sign}^1(\Sigma, \Sigma') \) and all \( \varphi \in \text{SEN}^b(\Sigma) \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{SEN}^b(\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\Sigma}} \text{SEN}(F(\Sigma)) \\
\text{SEN}^b(f) & \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{SEN}(f) \\
\text{SEN}^b(\Sigma') & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\Sigma'}} \text{SEN}(F(\Sigma')) \\
\text{SEN}(F(f))(\alpha_{\Sigma}(\varphi)) & = \text{SEN}(f)(X_{\alpha_{\Sigma}^\#(\varphi)}) = X_{\Sigma}(X_{\alpha_{\Sigma}^\#(\varphi)}) = X_{\alpha_{\Sigma}^\#(\Sigma)}(f)(\varphi) = \alpha_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}^b(f)(\varphi)).
\end{align*}
\]

Moreover, \( (F, \alpha) : A^b \rightarrow A \) is an algebraic system morphism. Indeed, for all \( \sigma^b : (\text{SEN}^b)^k \rightarrow \text{SEN}^b \) in \( N^b \), all \( \Sigma \in [\text{Sign}^1] \) and all \( \varphi_0, \ldots, \varphi_{k-1} \in \text{SEN}^b(\Sigma) \), we have
Thus, the pair $A = \langle A, \{F, \alpha\} \rangle$ is an interpreted referential $N^b$-algebraic system.

Let $\kappa = \langle A, T \rangle$ be the discrete pseudo-referential $N^b$-g-matrix system relative to $(PTS, \mathcal{S})$, where $\mathcal{S} = \{S^{\Sigma,i} : \Sigma \in |\text{Sign}^\#|, i \in I\}$, as before, with $T^\# = \{T^\#_i : i \in I\}$ being the collection of filter families of the g-matrix system $A^\#$.

Then, for all $i \in I$ and for all $\Sigma \in |\text{Sign}^\#|$, we have $T^\Sigma,i = \{T^\Sigma,i \}_\Sigma \in |\text{Sign}^\#|$, where, for all $\Sigma' \in |\text{Sign}^\#|$,

$$T^\Sigma,i = \{X \in \text{SEN}(\Sigma') : X \cap S^\Sigma,i \neq \emptyset\}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } \Sigma' \neq \Sigma, \\ \{X_\Sigma : x^{\Sigma}_\Sigma \in X_\Sigma, \varphi \in \text{SEN}^\#(\Sigma)\}, & \text{if } \Sigma' = \Sigma \end{cases}$$

Now notice that, for all $\Sigma \in |\text{Sign}^\#|$ and all $\varphi \in \text{SEN}^\#(\Sigma)$, we have that, for all $\Sigma' \in |\text{Sign}^\#|$, all $f \in \text{Sign}^\#(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ and all $i \in I$,

$$\alpha^\Sigma(\text{SEN}^\#(f)(\varphi)) \in T^F_{F(\Sigma')}^{\Sigma'} \text{ if and only if } \alpha^\Sigma(\text{SEN}^\#(f)(\varphi)) \in T^F_{F(\Sigma')}^{\Sigma'} \quad (7.1)$$

Equation (7.1) is true because, from the expression obtained from $T^\Sigma,i$ above, we obtain

$$\alpha^\Sigma(\text{SEN}^\#(f)(\varphi)) \in T^F_{F(\Sigma')}^{\Sigma'} \text{ if and only if } X_\Sigma^\Sigma(\text{SEN}^\#(f)(\varphi)) \in \{X_\Sigma : \varphi \in T^\#(\Sigma')\}$$

$$\text{iff } X_\Sigma^\Sigma(\text{SEN}(f)(\varphi)) \in \{X_\Sigma : \varphi \in T^\#(\Sigma')\}$$
Finally, we get the desired conclusion expressed in the following

Claim: $I^h = I^h^\#$.

Let $\Sigma \in \{\text{Sign}^1\}$ and $\Phi \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq \text{SEN}^1(\Sigma)$. Then we have $\varphi \in C^h_\Sigma(\Phi)$ iff, for all $\Sigma' \in \{\text{Sign}^1\}$, $f \in \text{Sign}^1(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ and all $i \in I$,

$$
\alpha_{\Sigma'}(\text{SEN}^1(f)(\Phi)) \subseteq T^{F(\Sigma')}_{F(\Sigma')} \implies \alpha_{\Sigma'}^h(\text{SEN}^1(f)(\varphi)) \subseteq T^{F(\Sigma')}_{F(\Sigma')},
$$

iff, by Equivalence (7.1), for all $\Sigma' \in \{\text{Sign}^1\}$, $f \in \text{Sign}^1(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ and all $i \in I$,

$$
\alpha_{\Sigma'}^h(\text{SEN}^1(f)(\Phi)) \subseteq T^{\#(\Sigma')}_{F(\Sigma')} \implies \alpha_{\Sigma'}^{h^\#}(\text{SEN}^1(f)(\varphi)) \subseteq T^{\#(\Sigma')}_{F(\Sigma')},
$$

iff $\varphi \in C_{\Sigma'}^{h^\#}(\Phi)$. Since $C^h = C^{h^\#}$, we conclude that $I^h = I^{h^\#}$, as required.
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