

Teodor Stepień

THE SUFFICIENT AND NECESSARY CONDITION FOR TARSKI'S PROPERTY IN LINDENBUM'S EXTENSIONS

Abstract

The full text of this paper will appear in *Zeitschrift für mathematische Logik und Grundlagen der Mathematik*.

0. The problem considered in this paper is connected with the well-known Tarski's theorem and concerns those systems which have only one Lindenbaum's extension.

I. Let S be the set of formulas formed in the usual manner by means of the infinite set of propositional variables (At) and the connectives from the set Δ . Let N, K, A, C, E denote negation, conjunction, disjunction, implication and equivalence, respectively. We assume that $C \in \Delta \subseteq \{C, A, K, N, E\}$. $At(\alpha)$ ($\alpha \in S^\Delta$) denotes the set of all propositional variables occurring in α .

The couple $\langle R, X \rangle$ is called a system of propositional calculus, whenever $X \subseteq S^\Delta$ and R is a set of primitive rules. For every $X \subseteq S^\Delta$, $Cn(R, X)$ is the smallest subset of S^Δ containing X and closed under the rules of R . By the symbol h^e we denote the extension of the function $e : At \rightarrow S^\Delta$ to the endomorphism. R_{o*} denotes the set $\{r_o, r_*\}$ (r_o – modus ponens, r_* – substitution rule). $Sb_Z(X)$ denotes the set of all sentences which are obtained by replacing all variables in the sentence of the set X by sentences of the set Z . By R_{o*} – systems we mean systems based on modus ponens and substitution rule and by R_o -systems we mean systems based on modus ponens. In this paper we will consider only R_{o*} -systems and R_o -systems. Hence for the simplicity of the denotation we write $Cn(X)$ instead of $Cn(R_{o*}, X)$ and $Cn_o(X)$ instead of $Cn(R_o, X)$.

DEFINITION 1. $L(Cn(R, X)) = \{Y \subseteq S^\Delta : Cn(R, X) \subseteq Y = Cn(R, Y) \neq S^\Delta \wedge \forall \alpha \in S^\Delta - Y \ Cn(R, Y \cup \{\alpha\}) = S^\Delta\}$

DEFINITION 2. If $\psi \notin Cn(R, X)$ than $L^\psi(Cn(R, X)) = \{Y \subseteq S^\Delta : \psi \notin Y \wedge Cn(R, X) \subseteq Y = Cn(R, Y) \neq S^\Delta \wedge \forall \varphi \in S^\Delta - Y \ \psi \in Cn(R, Y \cup \{\varphi\})\}$

DEFINITION 3. $S_p^\Delta = \{\alpha \in S^\Delta : At(\alpha) = p\}$.

Notice that $L(Cn(R, X))$ is the set of all Lindenbaum's extensions of the set $Cn(R, X)$, while $L^\psi(Cn(R, X))$ is the set of all Lindenbaum-Asser's extensions of the set $Cn(R, X)$ for $\psi \in S^\Delta - Cn(R, X)$ (cf. [1]).

II. In this chapter at first we introduce the notion of the extensive-completeness of system $\langle R, X \rangle (\langle R, X \rangle \in ECpl^Z)$ as follows:

DEFINITION 4. $\langle R, X \rangle \in ECpl^Z$ iff $\forall Y \in L(Cn(R, X)) \ \forall \alpha \in Z \ \forall \beta \in Z - Y \ \alpha \in Cn(R, X) \vee Cn(R, X \cup \{\alpha\}) = S^\Delta \vee Cn(R, X \cup \{C\alpha\beta\}) = S^\Delta$, where $Z \subseteq S^\Delta$ and $Cn(R, X) \subseteq S^\Delta$

For any $X \subseteq S^\Delta$ such that $Cn(R_{o^*}, X) \neq S^\Delta$ we define the sequence of the sets X_n ($n \in \mathcal{N} \cup \{0\}$) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} X_o &= Cn(X) \cap S_p^\Delta, \\ X_{n+1} &= \{\varphi \in X_n : \exists_{e: At \rightarrow S_p^\Delta - \{p\}} \exists_{\psi \in X_n} \varphi = h^e(\psi)\} \end{aligned}$$

Besides, we define the sequence of the sets S_m ($m \in \mathcal{N} \cup \{0\}$):

$$\begin{aligned} S_o &= S_p^\Delta \\ S_{m+1} &= S_m^\Delta - \{\varphi \in S_m : \sim \exists_{e: At \rightarrow S_p^\Delta - \{p\}} \exists_{\psi \in S_m - \{p\}} \varphi = h^e(\psi)\} \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 1. $\overline{\overline{L(Cn(X))}} = 1$ iff $\exists_{n, m \in \mathcal{N} \cup \{0\}} \langle R_{o^*}, X_n \rangle \in ECpl^{S_m^\Delta}$, where $Cn(X) \not\subseteq S^\Delta (Z \not\subseteq Y$ iff $Z \subseteq Y$ and $Z \neq Y)$.

Using Theorem 1 one can prove the following

COROLLARY. $\overline{\overline{L(Cn(X))}} = 1$ iff $\exists_{Z \subseteq S^\Delta} [Cn(X \cup Sb_Z(S^\Delta)) = S^\Delta \wedge \langle R_{o^*}, X \rangle \in ECpl^{Sb_Z(S^\Delta)}]$, where $Cn(X) \not\subseteq S^\Delta$ (cf. [2] and [3]).

Next on the grounds of the R_o -systems we have the following

THEOREM 2. $\overline{\overline{L(Cn_o(X))}} = 1$ iff $\langle R_o, X \rangle \in ECpl^{S^\Delta}$, where $Cn_o(X) \not\subseteq S^\Delta$.

At least we establish these theorems which concern univocality of the Lindenbaum-Asser's extensions.

THEOREM 3. $\overline{\overline{L^\psi(Cn(X))}} = 1$ iff $\exists Y \in L^\psi(Cn(X)) \forall \alpha \in S^\Delta \forall \beta \in S^\Delta \neg Y \psi \in Cn(X \cup \{\alpha\}) \vee \psi \in Cn(X \cup \{C\alpha\beta\})$.

THEOREM 4. $\overline{\overline{L^\psi(Cn_o(X))}} = 1$ iff $\exists Y \in L^\psi(Cn_o(X)) \forall \alpha \in S^\Delta \forall \beta \in S^\Delta \neg Y \psi \in Cn_o(X \cup \{\alpha\}) \vee \psi \in Cn_o(X \cup \{C\alpha\beta\})$.

References

- [1] G. Asser, **Einführung in die mathematische Logic**, Teil I, Leipzig, 1959.
- [2] A. Biela, *On the so-called Tarski's property in the theory of Lindenbaum's oversystems*, **Reports on Mathematical Logic** 7 (1976), pp. 7–20.
- [3] A. Tarski, **Logic, Semantics, Metamathematics**, Oxford 1956, pp. 393–400.

*The Pedagogical College
Cracow, Poland*