

Max Peter Urchs

KRIPKE-STYLE SEMANTICS FOR JAŚKOWSKI'S SYSTEM Q_f

Classical logic, intuitionism, relevant logics and many other systems try to express implication as an entailment. The Jaśkowski system Q_f (cf. [2]) describes implication in connection with causality. Syntactic properties of Q_f have been examined by Pieczkowski [4], [5]. The semantic (Kripke-style) characterization of Q_f is the aim of this paper.

The set FOR of (well-formed) formulae (*wffs*) is formed from the set At of sentential variables by using one unary connective \neg and two binary connectives \wedge, \forall_f in the usual way. The expressions $\neg\alpha, \alpha \wedge \beta$ and $[\forall_f\alpha]\beta$ should be read “no α ”, “ α and β ” and “*beta* for all factors of α ”, respectively. The variables can be treated, similarly to Heyting’s ([1]) and Jaśkowski’s ([3]) methods, as dependent sentential variables, i.e. predicate variables but with undetermined arity. Then formulas can be identified with sentential functions. Relevant arguments of a formula α , on which α really depends, are called *factors of α* .

Let $t_n, n \in \omega$, being an interpretation of the set FOR into the set of *wffs* of the first-order predicate calculus PC , be defined as follows:

- 1) $t_n(p) = P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ where P is an n -ary predicate symbol and $(x_1 \dots x_n)$ is fixed for all $p \in At$,
- 2) $t_n(\neg\alpha) = \neg t_n(\alpha)$,
- 3) $t_n(\alpha \wedge \beta) = t_n(\alpha) \wedge t_n(\beta)$,
- 4) $t_n([\forall_f\alpha]\beta) = t_n(\beta) \wedge \bigwedge_{\{k_1 \dots k_l\} \subseteq \{1 \dots n\}} \{(\exists x_1 \dots x_n (\exists x_{k_1} t_n(\alpha) \wedge \exists x_{k_1} \neg t_n(\alpha)) \wedge \dots \wedge \exists x_1 \dots x_n (\exists x_{k_l} t_n(\alpha) \wedge \exists x_{k_l} \neg t_n(\alpha))) \rightarrow \forall x_{k_1} \dots x_{k_l} t_n(\beta)\}$.

The interpretation t_n takes *wffs* from FOR to the set of *wffs* of the

n -homogeneous predicate calculus (see [5]).

The system Q_f is defined in the following way:

DEFINITION 1. For all $\alpha \in FOR$:

$$\alpha \in Q_f \Leftrightarrow \forall n \in \omega : t_n(\alpha) \in PC.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_i = \langle W_i, R_i \rangle$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, be any Kripke-frames. Relations $\widehat{R}_1, \dots, \widehat{R}_n$ are defined on the set $W_1 \times \dots \times W_n$ by the following conditions:

$$(x_1 \dots x_n) \widehat{R}_i (y_1 \dots y_n) \Leftrightarrow x_i R_i y_i \wedge \forall j \neq i : x_j = y_j.$$

We introduce the following notations:

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{F}_n = \langle W_1 \times \dots \times W_n, \widehat{R}_1, \dots, \widehat{R}_n \rangle,$$

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \langle \mathcal{F}, \varphi \rangle \text{ where } \varphi : At \rightarrow W_1 \times \dots \times W_n.$$

By an *evaluation* \models we understand a 3-ary relation between a model \mathcal{M}_n , a world $\bar{w} = (w_1 \dots w_n) \in W_1 \times \dots \times W_n$ and $\alpha \in FOR$ satisfying (1)-(4) below:

- (1) $\mathcal{M}_n \models p[\bar{w}] \Leftrightarrow \bar{w} \in \varphi(p)$ for $p \in At$,
- (2) $\mathcal{M}_n \models \neg \alpha[\bar{w}] \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}_n \not\models \alpha[\bar{w}] \Leftrightarrow \neg(\mathcal{M}_n \models \alpha[\bar{w}])$,
- (3) $\mathcal{M}_n \models \alpha \wedge \beta[\bar{w}] \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}_n \models \alpha[\bar{w}] \wedge \mathcal{M}_n \models \beta[\bar{w}]$,
- (4) $\mathcal{M}_n \models [\forall_f \alpha] \beta[\bar{w}] \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}_n \models \beta[\bar{w}] \wedge \bigwedge_{k_1, \dots, k_l=1, \dots, n} \{ \mathcal{M}_n \models f(k_1 \dots k_l, \alpha)[\bar{w}] \Rightarrow$
 $[\forall x_{k_1} \dots x_{k_l} : \bar{w} \widehat{R}_{k_1} \circ \dots \circ \widehat{R}_{k_l} \bar{w}(w_{k_1}/x_{k_1} \dots w_{k_l}/x_{k_l}) \Rightarrow$
 $\mathcal{M}_n \models \beta[\bar{w}(w_{k_1}/x_{k_1} \dots w_{k_l}/x_{k_l})]$

where

- I) $\mathcal{M}_n \models f(i_1 \dots i_l, \alpha)[\bar{w}] \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}_n \models f(i_1, \alpha)[\bar{w}] \wedge \dots \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{M}_n \models f(i_l, \alpha)[\bar{w}]$
- II) $\mathcal{M}_n \models f(i, \alpha)[\bar{w}] \Leftrightarrow (\exists x_i : \bar{w} \widehat{R}_i \bar{w}(w_i/x_i) \wedge \mathcal{M}_n \models \alpha[\bar{w}(\dots)]) \wedge$
 $(\exists x_i : \bar{w} \widehat{R}_i \bar{w}(\dots) \wedge \mathcal{M}_n \not\models \alpha[\bar{w}(\dots)])$.

Validity of α in a model \mathcal{M}_n ($\mathcal{M}_n \models \alpha$), on a frame \mathcal{F} ($\mathcal{F} \models \alpha$) and on a class \mathcal{K} of frames ($\mathcal{K} \models \alpha$) is defined as usual.

Let L be any normal logic. We introduce some more notations:

$$\mathcal{K}_L^n = \{ \mathcal{F}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{F}_n; \mathcal{F}_1 \models L, \dots, \mathcal{F}_n \models L \},$$

$$F_L^n = \{\alpha \in FOR; \mathcal{K}_L^n \models \alpha\},$$

$$F_L = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} F_L^n.$$

THEOREM 2. $Q_f = F_{S5}$.

PROOF. Let \mathcal{K}^n be the class of all frames $\mathcal{G} = \langle W^n, \widehat{T}_1, \dots, \widehat{T}_n \rangle$ such that relations $\widehat{T}_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, are defined as follows:

$$(w_1 \dots w_n) \widehat{T}_i (v_1 \dots v_n) \Leftrightarrow \forall i \neq j : w_j = v_j.$$

First of all, an easy induction proves that for any $\alpha \in FOR$:

(A) $t_n(\alpha) \in PC \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{K}^n \models \alpha, n \in \omega$.

Next we show that for any $\alpha \in FOR$:

(B) $\mathcal{K}^n \models \alpha \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{S5}^n \models \alpha; n \in \omega$.

(a) Since $\mathcal{K}^n \subseteq \mathcal{K}_{S5}^n$, we have $\mathcal{K}_{S5}^n \models \alpha$ only if $\mathcal{K}^n \models \alpha$.

(b) Let $\mathcal{K}_{S5}^n \not\models \alpha$.

There exists $\mathcal{F} = \langle W_1 \times \dots \times W_n, \widehat{R}_1, \dots, \widehat{R}_n \rangle \in \mathcal{K}_{S5}^n, \varphi : At \rightarrow_2 W_1 \times \dots \times W_n$ and $\bar{x}' = (x'_1 \dots x'_n)$ such that

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \langle \mathcal{F}, \varphi \rangle \not\models \alpha[\bar{x}'].$$

Let \mathcal{F}' be the subframe of \mathcal{F} generated by \bar{x}' , i.e.

$$\mathcal{F}' = \langle N, \widehat{S}_1, \dots, \widehat{S}_n \rangle$$

where $N = \{y \in W_1 \times \dots \times W_n; \exists i_1 \dots i_l \leq n : \bar{x} \widehat{R}_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ \widehat{R}_{i_l} \bar{y}\} = (W_1 \times \dots \times W_n)(x'_1 \dots x'_n)$ and $\widehat{S}_i = \widehat{R}_i/N$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Let \mathcal{M}' be $\langle \mathcal{F}', \varphi' \rangle$ where $\varphi'(p) = \varphi(p) \cap N$.

Then

$$\mathcal{M}' \not\models \alpha[\bar{x}'].$$

Let $W^{x'_i} = \{y \in W_i; \forall \bar{z} \in W_1 \times \dots \times W_n : \bar{z}(z_i/x'_i) \widehat{R}_i \bar{z}(z_i/y)\}$ and $W^* = \bigcup_{i=1}^n W^{x'_i}$.

Note that $N = W_1^{x'_1} \times \dots \times W_n^{x'_n}$.

We choose one element a_i from every $W^{x'_i}$ and define the projections $\Pi_i : W^* \rightarrow W^{x'_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ as follows:

$$\Pi_i(w) = \begin{cases} w & \text{for } w \in W_i^{x'_i} \\ a_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, $\varphi^*(p) \stackrel{df}{=} \{(x_1 \dots x_n) \in (W^*)^n; (\Pi_1 x_1 \dots \Pi_n x_n) \in \varphi'(p)\}$.
The structure $\langle (W^*)^n, \widehat{T}_1, \dots, \widehat{T}_n \rangle$, where $(w_1 \dots w_n) \widehat{T}_i (v_1 \dots v_n) \Leftrightarrow \forall i \neq j : w_j = v_j$, is a frame from \mathcal{K}^n .

We show that for any $\beta \in FOR$ the following equivalence holds:

$$(c) \quad \mathcal{M}^* = \langle (W^*)^n, \widehat{T}_1, \dots, \widehat{T}_n, \varphi^* \rangle \models \beta[\bar{x}] \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}' \models \beta[\Pi\bar{x}].$$

It is easy to show that all $\beta \in At$ fulfil (c) and if (c) is valid for β, γ , then it is also valid for $\neg\beta, \beta \wedge \gamma$. It remains to show that by this assumption (c) holds for $[\forall_f \beta]\gamma$. Let

$$\forall y \in W^* : \mathcal{M}^* \models \beta[\bar{x}(x_i/y)].$$

The induction hypothesis guarantees that

$$\forall y \in W^* : \mathcal{M} \models \beta[\Pi\bar{x}(\Pi_i x_i/\Pi_i y)].$$

This is equivalent to

$$\forall y \in W_i^{x'_i} : \mathcal{M}' \models \beta[\Pi\bar{x}(\Pi_i x_i/y)].$$

From clause (4) in the definition of validity and from the above it follows that

$$\mathcal{M}^* \models [\forall_f \beta]\gamma[\bar{x}] \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}' \models [\forall_f \beta]\gamma[\Pi\bar{x}].$$

Whence we have proved (c).

Note that (x'_1, \dots, x'_n) is a fixpoint for Π , i.e.

$$\Pi\bar{x}' = (\Pi_1 x'_1, \dots, \Pi_n x'_n) = \bar{x}'.$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Therefore} & \mathcal{M}^* \models \beta[\bar{x}'] \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}' \models \beta[\bar{x}'] \\ \text{and so} & \mathcal{M}^* \not\models \alpha[\bar{x}']. \\ \text{Whence} & \mathcal{K}^n \not\models \alpha. \end{array}$$

So (b) has been proved.

The equivalence (B) holds by (a) and (b).

It follows from (A), (B) and Definition 1 that for all $\alpha \in FOR$:

$$\alpha \in Q_f \Leftrightarrow \alpha \in F_{S5}.$$

QED.

References

- [1] A. N. Heyting, *Die formalen Regeln der intuitionistischen Mathematik*, **Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften** 1930, pp. 57–71.
- [2] S. Jaśkowski, *On modal and causal functions in symbolic logic*, **Studia Philosophica**, vol. 4 (1951), pp. 71–92.
- [3] S. Jaśkowski, *Sur le variables propositionnelles dépendantes*, **Studia Soc. sc. Torunensis**, sec. A, vol. I, pp. 17–21.
- [4] A. Pieczkowski, *The axiomatic system of the factorical implication*, **Studia Logica**, vol. 18 (1966), pp. 41–63.
- [5] A. Pieczkowski, *Causal implications of Jaśkowski*, **Studia Logica**, vol. 34 (1975), pp. 169–185.

*Institute of Mathematics
N. Copernicus University
Toruń*